NOV 1 3 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: 　　Abhay S. Kant et al.	§ § §　Group Art Unit:　2863
Serial No.:　10/720,817 Filed:　November 24, 2003	§ §　Examiner:　Lau, Tung S. §
For:　METHOD AND APPARATUS 　　FOR DETECTING RUB IN A 　　TURBOMACHINE	§ §　Atty. Docket:　133918-1/SWA §　　　　GERD:0332 §

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION OR MAILING
37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date below:

11-8-2006
Date

Tait R. Swanson

Sir:

DECLARATION OF JOSEPH ROBERT TOTH UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.131

I, Joseph Robert Toth, hereby declare as follows:

1.　I am a co-inventor of record of the above-referenced application.

2.　My residence address is set forth below, along with my signature.

3.　We conceived the subject matter disclosed and claimed in the above-referenced application in the United States, a NAFTA country, or a WTO country at least prior to September 30, 2002. This conception is evidenced by slides 1, 2, 5, 9, and 14 of a PowerPoint presentation relating to "Modified Algorithms based on feed back received from review meeting on July 19, 2002," as indicated by slide 1. *See* Exhibit A. These slides generally illustrate and describe systems and methods for monitoring operational parameters of a turbomachine (e.g., a turbine generator) on-site via various sensors, identifying anomalies in data received from sensors, and detecting possible rub events. Slide 2 is labeled "High Differential Expansion along with High Vibration," and illustrates and describes monitoring bearing vibration, checking for abnormal amplitude or variation, and triggering an alarm if an anomaly is observed with the bearing vibration.

Slide 5 is labeled "High eccentricity following vibration excursion," and illustrates and describes monitoring or checking for abnormalities associated with vibration or eccentricity, and identifying a possible rub during shut down of a turbine generator (indicated by "TG" in the slide). Slide 9 is labeled "Sudden large shell temperature ramp," and illustrates and describes monitoring parameters, identifying an abnormal change in steam and shell metal temperature, identifying an abnormal change in vibration, and identifying a possible rub event in the turbine generator (i.e., steam turbine generator). Slide 14 is labeled "Rub Anomaly Flow Down," and illustrates and describes various techniques for monitoring and identifying abnormalities to identify a possible rub event. The PowerPoint presentation was prepared at least prior to September 30, 2002. A true and redacted copy of this PowerPoint presentation is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

4.   We actually reduced to practice the subject matter disclosed and claimed in the above-referenced application in the United States, a NAFTA country, or a WTO country at least prior to September 30, 2002. This actual reduction to practice is also evidenced by the Excel graph labeled "Desk Top validation results (9/17/02)," which records successful completion and testing of a prototype of the method and system set forth and claimed in the referenced application at least prior to September 30, 2002. *See* Exhibit B. Specifically, the Excel graph represents data collected while monitoring the operation of a turbomachine, and indicates anomalies that correspond to possible rub events in the turbomachine. The Excel graph illustrates variation in speed relative to time and four different alarms indicative of a possible rub event. The Excel graph was prepared at least prior to September 30, 2002. A true and redacted copy of this Excel graph is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

5.   This actual reduction to practice is further evidenced by the PowerPoint presentation relating to "Steam Turbine Remote Monitoring & Diagnostics Rub Detection CDE Application Design Review," which is dated December 18, 2002, and includes various data, graphs, diagrams, and information relating to conception and reduction to practice prior to September 30, 2002. *See* Exhibit C. Slide 3 is labeled "ST Rub CDE Design Review," and indicates that the Continuous Data Engine (CDE) is a real-time anomaly detection platform that resides on the On-Site Monitor (OSM) for Steam Turbines (ST). Slide 19 is labeled "Validation results for DT and OSM testing with real field data," and tabulates testing of a steam turbine remote monitoring and diagnostic

system on 7/9/2002, 6/26/2002, 6/13/2002, 5/8/2002, 7/13/2002, 6/8/2002, 6/24/2002, 5/31/2002, 11/9/2002, 9/17/2002, and 5/21/2002. Slide 20 is labeled "OSM Validation Results of CDE algorithms with 'Real Rub Event' Data Overview," and illustrates successful testing of the remote monitoring & diagnostic system to identify rub events in a steam turbine on 5/21/2002, 6/24/2002, and 7/9/2002. Slide 21 is labeled "OSM Validation of CDE algorithms with 'Real Rub Event' Data," and illustrates further successful testing of the remote monitoring & diagnostic system to identify rub events in a steam turbine on 6/8/2002 and 6/24/2002. Slide 39 is labeled "On-Site Data Flow," and illustrates an On-Site Monitor (OSM) Data Flow Diagram related to a design review on November 13, 2000. The diagram of slide 39 illustrates a web interface and a mail service for monitoring a turbine generator. Slide 69 is labeled "DT Validation of CDE with ... unit data, 270T489," and illustrates further successful testing of the remote monitoring & diagnostic system to identify rub events in a steam turbine on 5/31/2002 and 9/17/2002. A true and redacted copy of this PowerPoint presentation is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

6. I declare further that all statements made herein are of my own knowledge, are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. §1001, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

Dated: __11/2/06__   By: _Joseph R. Toth_
                                    Joseph Robert Toth

Declarant's Full Name: Joseph Robert Toth

Country of Citizenship: USA

Residence Address: 314 Morning Glory Trail, Powder Springs, GA 30127

EXHIBIT A — 1/5

Modified Algorithms based on feed back received from review meeting on
July 19th 2002

Major modifications carried out in:

8.6.76	High Differential Expansion along with High Vibration	Sheet: 2
8.6.71	Rotor locks in and vibrates at its first critical	Sheet: 3
8.6.61	High eccentricity following vibration excursion.	Sheet: 4 & 5
8.6.73	High response to 1st critical	Sheet: 6 & 7

Minor or No Modifications in:

8.6.77	Sudden large shell temperature ramp	Sheet: 8 & 9
8.6.67	Different speed/vibration map for run up vs. coast down	Sheet: 10 & 11
8.6.74	High response to 2nd critical	Sheet: 12
8.6.64	Unsteady or sporadic overall vibration with LP overall vibration affected with Load, back Pressure, Hood Temp	Sheet : 13

8.6.76 High Differential Expansion along with High Vibration

(This calculation shall be performed during Start-up and Shut down modes of the unit.)

1. Monitor alarm for 'Differential Expansion High' to raise an anomaly.
2. Monitor bearing vibration.
3. Calculate actual variation in vibration values.
4. If abnormal amplitude or abnormal variation is observed, and this is observed for 3 consecutive samples, then raise an anomaly.'High/ Abnormal VibrationOnBBXX'
5. If both these conditions are appearing, then raise an anomaly 'AbnormalVibWithAbnormalDiffExpansion'.

High Diff Expansion Alarm from Controller

Bearing Vibration → Trend Vibration values → Check for abnormal amplitude OR abnormal variation → Consecutive 3 samples > Specified limits ?

No → No Action Required

Yes → High/ AbnormalVibrationOnBBXX

AND → Abnormal Vib With Abnormal Diff Expansion Anomaly

8.6.61 High eccentricity following vibration excursion.

Vibration during shut down of the unit → Check for abnormal Vibration during shut down → Is any anomaly Appearing during shut down ? — **Yes** → Abnormal Vib During CoastDown BBXX → Possible Rub During Shut Down

AND → Possible Rub During Shut Down

Eccentricity → Check for abnormal amplitude OR abnormal variation while the unit was on TG → Is any anomaly appearing ? — **Yes** / **No** → No Action Required

AbnormalEccentricity _OnTG → AND → PossibleRubAfterAbnormalEccentricity_OnTG

Vibration → Check for abnormal Vibration during Transient → Is any anomaly appearing ? — **Yes** / **No** → No Action Required

AbnormalTransientVibWithAbnormalEccentricity_OnTG

AND → OR → AND → PossibleRubAfterAbnormalEccentricity_OnTG

Vibration → Check for abnormal Vibration during loaded State → Is any anomaly appearing ? — **Yes** / **No** → No Action Required

AbnormalSteadyStateVibWithAbnormalEccentricity_OnTG

AND

If high vibration was observed during shut down, followed by high eccentricity while on TG, then this is an indication of 'possible rub during shut down'.

8.6.77 Sudden large shell temperature ramp

This operation will be performed for every snap shot.

Possible Rub Event

AND

No Action Required

OR / AND

> 50 deg F ?

No

Yes

Yes

★ Abnormal temp. change ?

No

No Action Required

★ Abnormal Vibration Change ?

Yes

No

Difference Upper - Lower

Average over specified time

Average over specified time

Shell Metal Temp Upper

Shell Metal Temp Lower

Steam Inlet temp

Bearing Vibration BBXX

"Correlation between steam and/or shell metal temperature and vibration incident."

★ Abnormal change is defined as: 'Larger than specified' change in amplitude over specified time period (10 seconds) OR amplitude exceedence over specified limits.

EXHIBIT A - 5/5

Rub Anomaly Flow Down

Possible Rub Event

OR

High response. at 1ᵈ critical at BBXX

High response. at 2ⁿᵈ critical at BBXX

PossibleRubAfterAbnormalEccentricity_OnTG

Possible rub event (Rotor Locks at First Critical)

OverallVibrationAffectedByXXX

DifferentSpeedMap

Abnormal Vib With Abnormal Diff Expansion Anomaly

Possible Rub Event (Shell Temp Ramp)

EXHIBIT B - Y,

Desk Top validation results (9/17/02)

18:44:22   Alarm
1) Sudden Change in Vibration
2) Possible Rub Anomaly

Danger Limit for Vibration

18:45:03   Alarm
1) Abnormal vibration during deceleration

Alert Limit for Vibration

CDE Alarm
Possible Rub

Alarm:
18:43:36   High Vibration

EXHIBIT C — ¹/₁₅

Steam Turbine Remote Monitoring & Diagnostics

Rub Detection CDE Application
Design Review

December 18, 2002

Abhay Kant

EXHIBIT C - 2/15

ST Rtb CDE Design Review - Agenda

1. Executive Summary
2. Algorithm design
3. Testing and validation
4. Commissioning Process
5. Monitoring and alarm escalation process
6. Future development of CDE
7. Conclusions
8. Discussions

EXHIBIT C - 3/15

ST 'Rub' CDE Design Review

What is a CDE? Why a CDE?

- Continuous Data Engine (CDE) is a real-time anomaly detection platform, that resides on the On-Site Monitor (OSM).
- A CDE allows real time monitoring of events or anomalies in the turbine
- Significant reduction in data processing load compared to manual monitoring

Already existing CDE Applications:

- Gas Turbines Condition Monitoring System (VPO Module)
- Gas Turbine Trip Detection

Why CDEs for Steam Turbines?

- Real time events such as Rubs or Trips are best detected locally in the OSM in real-time
- Allows quick escalation of such critical events to response teams in various organizations (Op Center, Product Services, Steam Turbine Technology)

Why 'Rub Detection CDE' for Steam Turbines?

- Rub is a key major contributor to ST performance degradation
- Rub detection across the monitored fleet provides data for a more efficient RCA process for ST problems
- Detection is the precursor to prediction and ultimately rub minimization

EXHIBIT C - 4/15

Generic CDE Data Flow Overview

Remote System

STRMD Central Processes

Rub Escalation Processes

Server

FACE

Alarm Viewer

ACM Viewer

BBN Website

Data Archiving Infrastructure

Sun-Solaris Oracle Server

Transfer Application collects data from each OSM every 2 hours

MD Workstations

Remote Notification

Rub Detection CDE

On-Site System

OnSiteMonitor (OSM)

System

EXHIBIT C - 5/15

High Level Representation of alarm flow

Inputs

Alarms

OSM

E mail Notifications

CDE Alarm Viewer

CDE Alarms

CDE Alarm Viewer

Yellow

Red

Log information

ST Experts

Escalate

Filtered, Prioritized alarms are escalated to experts.

EXHIBIT C - 6/15

Alarm grouping (hierarchy of alarms)

No. Of Inputs: 22

| Input 1 | Input 2 | Input 3 | Input 4 | Input 5 | — · — · — | Input n |

No. of Alarms: 160

Sudden change — Group 1
High vibration — Group 2
Abnormal Temp/ ECCENT — Group 4
Sudden change — Group 5
High vibration — Group 6
Abnormal Temp — Group 8

No of Groups: 8

24 BIT Index

Alarm 24

Transient Group Alarms

Steady State Group Alarms

| Rub Algorithm 1 | Rub Algorithm 2 | Rub Algorithm 3 | Rub Algorithm 10 |

Rub Alarms

Diagnostic Group Alarms

No. of Rub Algorithms: 10

9

EXHIBIT C - 7/15

# Validation results for DT and OSM testing with real field data

S.No	For unit	Model	For time period	Alarm Type	Opportunities	Defects	DPMO
1	270T490 North America,	D11	7/9/2002 7.40 to 8.40 am	Missed	1800	0	0
				False Alarms	1800	0	0
2	270T474	A10	6/26/2002 10.45 to 12 pm	Missed	2200	0	0
				False Alarms	2200	0	0
3	270T476	A10	6/13/2002 9.30 to 11.10 am	Missed	2200	0	0
				False Alarms	2200	0	0
4	270T478	D11	5/8/2002 2.20 to 5.00 pm	Missed	4500	0	0
				False Alarms	4500	0	0
5	270T471	D11	7/13/2002 19.10 to 23.30 Hrs	Missed	7800	0	0
				False Alarms	780	0	0
6	270T425	D11	6/8/2002 13.26 to 14.45 hrs	Missed	2400	0	0
				False Alarms	2400	0	0
7	270T483	D11	6/24/2002 11 to 11.30	Missed	900	0	0
				False Alarms	900	0	0
8	270T489	D11	5/31/2002 7.50 to 8.30 hrs	Missed	1200	0	0
				False Alarms	1200	0	0
9	270T434	D11	11/9/2002 7am to 11 am	Missed	7200	0	0
				False Alarms	7200	0	0
10	270T508	D11	9/17/2002 14 to 20 pm	Missed	9000	0	0
				False Alarms	9000	0	0
11	Synthetic case		4 hrs run	Missed	7200	1	139
				False Alarms	7200	0	0
12	270T465	A10	5/21/2002 5.20 to 7.40 am	Missed	4200	0	0
				False Alarms	4200	0	0
	Total Score			**Missed**	47090	**0**	**0**
				False Alarms	47090	**1**	**21**

False Alarms Zst: 5.59

19

ExHIBIT C - 8/15

OSM Validation Results of CDE algorithms with 'Real Rub Event' Data | Overview

270T465 Field data (5/21/2002) - Normal

Normal Unit Startup, No CDE Alarms

CDE: Possible Rub

CDE: Possible Rub

High Eccentricity

Unit Shutdown

High Vib. On BB2Y, BB2X

Time snap shots for date   7/9/2002   07:39 to 08:36

EXHIBIT C — 9/15

OSM Validation of CDE algorithms with 'Real Rub Event' Data

EXHIBIT C — 10/15

Field Deployment Results of CDF beta test

	Total	270T434	270T483	270T489	270T471	270T508	
Date of Installation		21-Nov-02	21-Nov-02	20-Nov-02	3-Dec-02	14-Sep-02	
Number of Transient events witnessed							
S/D	38	11	3	1	3	20	
S/U	39	10	4	1	3	21	
Weeks of Operation	22	3	3	3	2	11	
Total Opportunity	7171200	950400	950400	993600	388800	3888000	
Missed Alarms	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
False Alarms	2	2	0	0	0	0	0.28

Data up to December, 12, 2002

CTQ for False Alarms: 0.1alarm/turbine/week (1 alarm/10 weeks)

Actual: 2 Alarm in 22 Weeks. (0.9 alarms/10 week)

False Alarms
Zst: 6.0

EXHIBIT C - "/15

Field Deployment Results of CDE beta test

Real Vibration Event ...validated by experts

Vibration Data For ▬▬▬ Unit, 270T508, 28 Nov 2002

CDE ALARMS RAISED

1) 05:55:44: High Standard Deviation BB4X
2) 05:57:20: **High Vib Yellow Alarm BB4X (5.7 mils)**
3) 05:58:36: Sudden Change in Vib BB4Y
4) 05:59:38: Sudden Change in Vib BB2X
5) 05:59:46: **High Vib Red Alarm BB4X (7.5 mils)**
6) 06:00:48: Axial deviation High
   06:00:48: **Possible Rub**
7) 06:20: 14 Abnormal Eccentricity on TG
   06:20:14 **Possible Rub**

7
CDE ALARM
POSSIBLE RUB

6
CDE ALARM
POSSIBLE RUB

5

2

1

Vibration Red Limit: 7.5 Mils

Vibration Yellow Limit: 5.5 Mils

Speed, RPM

Vibration, Mils

Time

— S1:TNH_RPM
— S1:BB1X
— S1:BB1Y
⋯ S1:BB2X
— S1:BB2Y
— S1:BB3X
— S1:BB3Y
— S1:BB4X
— S1:BB4Y
— S1:BB5X
— S1:BB5Y
⋯ S1:BB6X
— S1:BB6Y
— S1:ECCENT

26

EXHIBIT C - 12/15

Field Deployment Results of CDE beta test

Real Vibration Event ...validated by experts

270T489   12/06/2002 05:10:00

05:25:00
Sudden Change in
BB2X

06:24:40
Abnormal ECCENTRICITY
on TG

Possible Rub

Legend:
- s1:TNH_RPM
- S1:BB2X
- S1:BB2Y
- S1:BB3X
- S1:BB3Y
- S1:BB4X
- S1:BB4Y
- S1:ECCENT

Speed, RPM axis: 4000, 3500, 3000, 2500, 2000, 1500, 1000, 500, 0

Right axis: 5, 4.5, 4, 3.5, 3, 2.5, 2, 1.5, 1, 0.5, 0

Time axis: 1/0/00 12:00 AM, 5/14/01 12:00 AM, 9/26/02 12:00 AM, 2/8/04 12:00 AM, 6/22/05 12:00 AM, 11/4/06 12:00 AM, 3/18/08 12:00 AM

Time

EXHIBIT C - 13/15

OSM Data Flow Diagram.

Design Review, Nov 13, 2000,

39

EXHIBIT C — 14/15

DT Validation of CDE with ▮▮ unit data, 270T489

270T489   5/31/2002

Unit, 270T508,   9/17/2002

EXHIBIT C — 15/15

Field Deployment Results of CDE beta test

CDE Alarms for ▮▮▮ Unit (270T508) Start up on 11/14/2002

Plot Showing Phase Change(BB2X_1XPhi) (Data from Bently 3500)

16:56:38 CDE Alarm
Abnormal ECCENT when on TG
Value: 14.4 Mils (Alarm Limit 2 Mils)

17:10:00
Value Of ECCENT when unit leaves TG
mode: 4.1 Mils   (Alert Limit 2 Mils)

17:10:18   CDE Alarm
1. Sudden Change in BB2X (Max Vib 1.2 Mils)
2. Abnormal Vib Followed by Abnormal
   Eccentricity on TG.
3. Possible Rub

CDE Alarm:
Possible Rub

| S1:TNH_RPM |
| S1:BB2X |
| S1:BB3X |
| S1:BB3Y |
| S1:BB4X |
| S1:ECCENT |

Alert Limit for High Vibration :   5.5 Mils
Danger Limt for High Vibration: 7.5 Mils
Alert Limt for Sudden Change in Vibration: 1 mils/min

RPM

Time snaps

72